To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
From: Homeless Services Panel of Experts  
Submitted by: Katharine Gale, Chairperson  
Subject: Recommendations for Allocation of FY19/20 Measure P Funds

RECOMMENDATION
Approve recommendations for the allocation of FY19/20 General Funds at least commensurate with resources accrued to date from the passage of Measure P. Refer to the City Manager to produce data regarding the percentage of those transported with County Emergency Mental Health Transport who are homeless, and other sources that could be used to cover this cost.

SUMMARY
The Homeless Services Panel of Experts recommends that the City allocate general funds to a variety of critical activities including permanent housing, shelter, supportive services and other program types to address the current crisis of homelessness in Berkeley. The recommended priority order, percentages, types of activities and subpopulation considerations are included as Attachment 1 to this report.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION
Recommendations covered by this report allocate general fund resources for homeless housing and services in an undetermined amount to be at least commensurate with those raised to date under the transfer tax authorized under Measure P (minus those previously allocated by Council).

CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS
Homeless is increasing in the City of Berkeley and throughout the Bay Area. Between 2017 and 2019 homelessness in Berkeley at a point-in-time has risen by 13%, affecting more than 1,100 people on any given night. Recognizing the need for additional housing and services and for humane measures to address the impacts of homelessness, the Voters of Berkeley passed Measure P in November 2018 which collects a specified transfer tax with the intention to use these additional funds to address homelessness in the City of Berkeley.
Measure P established a Homeless Services Panel of Experts to advise the City Council. The Panel consists of nine members with a deep level of expertise in areas relevant to homelessness, including persons with extensive professional and/or lived experience with homelessness. The Panel began meeting in May 2019. Katharine Gale and Yesica Prado are the elected chair and vice-chair of the Panel.

Addressing homelessness is a Strategic Plan Priority Project, advancing the City’s goal to create affordable housing and supportive services for our most vulnerable community members.

Process
This report provides the Panel’s first recommendations for initial investments from General Funds to increase and improve housing and services to address homelessness in Berkeley. In order to develop these recommendations, the Panel first adopted a Purpose Statement (attached). The Panel reviewed all of the referrals made to us since the Measure’s passage in light of our adopted statement. This included the funding requests and referrals included in the January 2019 Measure P Informational report to Council as well as additional referrals, formal and informal, sent to the Panel since that time. We also considered information we were presented by City staff regarding current City of Berkeley investments, local and regional strategies, the 2019 Point-in-Time Count, and the 1,000 Person Plan.

A Mission and Budget Subcommittee of the Panel meet and categorized the referrals we received by areas of investment (permanent housing, shelter, etc.) and proposed initial percentages to each area, as well as a process to determine the final recommendations. The full Panel reviewed the investment areas, added additional activities/program types to the areas, prioritized the program types within each area, and made recommended adjustments to the percentages, resulting in the recommended allocations attached to this report. Our recommendation regarding shelter and temporary accommodations includes the potential to use funds to support sanctioned encampments if approved by a Council policy and we encourage the City to give consideration to this approach.

The Panel also adopted subpopulation priorities within the key investment areas of permanent housing subsidies, and flexible housing subsidies. These include establishing a $500,000 set-aside for permanent housing subsidies for homeless families with children. This also includes a recommended 20% set-aside for families and transition-age youth in flexible housing subsidies, using the McKinney-Vento (i.e. Berkeley Unified School Districts) definition of homelessness, though not limited to families with school-age children.

As stated above, the actual amount of funding to be allocated has yet to be determined. The agreed upon order of priority and percentages is included as Attachment 1. The Panels’ priorities within each area are expressed in the order of activities. We
recommend that higher ranked activities be given a greater priority for resources, but we recognize that some activities we have recommended may be funded using other resources at the City’s disposal. Activities left out of our table, such as Public Works street cleaning, and general street outreach, were not recommended for funding from Measure P at this time.

Objection to Full Funding for Emergency Mental Health Transport

The Panel notes that the amount available for us to allocate was reduced by nearly $1.5 million in FY19/20 based on commitments recommended previously by the City Manager for City staff and for Mental Health Emergency Transport. We understand that FY19/20 funding is already committed but we wish to express our strong objection to the pre-allocation of $2.4 million in FY20/21 Measure P-generated funding to fully cover these transportation costs. Measure P was passed by the voters of Berkeley to address the crisis of homelessness; while some people who experience homelessness may require emergency mental health transportation, this service is not limited to people who are homeless and was not budgeted with consideration that most people who will be transported will be people who are housed. In addition, this service does not result in greater housing or shelter for people who are homeless and we believe is not consistent with the purpose of Measure P. We recommend the Council refer to the City Manager to produce information regarding the percentage of those transported who are homeless and other potential sources to cover this expense. We hope to make recommendations for next year’s investments with consideration to this.

Next Steps

The HSPE recognizes that it was established not only to make recommendations about investment amounts but also to advise on methods and practices. A companion letter will be sent to Council to accompany this report with additional recommendations and considerations for how to ensure Berkeley’s programming is consistent with best practices.

Future work of the Panel will include developing an Action Plan for the coming year, and coordinating with Measure O to plan for future developments. Future work may include recommendations regarding establishing a goal of ending family homelessness or other City-wide goals.

BACKGROUND

Measure P was passed by the voters of Berkeley in 2018. The Homeless Services Panel of Experts began meeting in May of 2019. To guide our work, in August 2019 we have adopted a Statement of Purpose. This Statement is provided as Attachment 2 to this report and is a guide to the recommendations made in this Report.

At their September 4, 2019 regular meeting, the Homeless Services Panel of Experts took the following action regarding these recommendations:

Action: M/S/C Sutton/Trotz to adopt Budget A as amended:
(i) Re-prioritize item #2 (Permanent Housing) as item #1 (and vice-versa), and within the Permanent Housing category:
   a. Replace “permanent supportive housing” with “permanent housing”;  
   b. Strike the language under “Additional considerations”;
   c. Add “establish a minimum set-aside of $500,000 for homeless families in this category”; Note that Transition-Age Youth should be included in funding for adults.

(ii) Remove the recommended dollar amounts in each funding category, replacing them with percentage allocations, and change the allocations to each category as follows:
   a. #1 – Permanent Housing: 30%
   b. #2--Shelter and Temporary Accommodations: 30%
   c. #3--Immediate Street Conditions and Hygiene: 14%
   d. #4--Supportive Services: 14%
   e. #5--Short/Medium Term Housing Subsidies: 10%
   f. #6--Infrastructure: 2%.

(iii) Within Category #2 (Shelter and Temporary Accommodations),
   a. Add “City should ensure there is a focus on families living on the street”;
   b. Remove “Support sanctioned encampments” as a specific line-item, and instead add reference to sanctioned encampments as a possible modality in line-item #1 (Expand shelter capacity), with the language “if the City should adopt such a policy”;
   c. Add language in the report to reflect that City should study the potential for sanctioned encampments as a form of shelter expansion and if it adopts such a policy these funds could be used to support that modality.

(iv) Within Category #3 (Immediate Street Conditions and Hygiene):
   a. Add “storage units” to the “lockers” item;
   b. Add “including for encampments” to the “Toilets and Hygiene Stations” item.

(v) Within Category #5 (Short/Medium Term Housing Subsidies), remove the language on additional considerations and replace with:
   a. Establish a 20% set-aside for families and youth (including transition-aged youth).
   b. Use the McKinney-Vento definition of “homelessness” as an eligibility criterion, without limiting to BUSD-enrolled households to ensure coverage of families with children under school age.

There are no identifiable environmental costs or opportunities associated with these recommendations; the determination regarding how to invest in shelter expansion activities may require environmental consideration.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION
The exact amount of funds that will be generated by Measure P are unknown at this time, and additional State and local funds may become available to the City to cover similar cost areas to address homelessness as those recommended by the Panel. Thus, the Panel is recommending key categories for investment, relative priorities expressed as percentages, and priorities within each of these areas. City staff and Council are encouraged to uses these recommendations to determine the specific investments within each area.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED
The HSPE considered various options for allocating resources to families and Transition Age Youth (TAY) including allocating resources based on each population’s percentages in the Point in Time (PIT) count, establishing a specific priority for unsheltered families, and adopting a significant percentage of housing resources for families. The HSPE ultimately adopted and recommends a specific set-aside in the first allocation of at least $500,000 of funding for permanent housing for families and a 20% percent set-aside in flexible subsidies for families and transition age youth.

CITY MANAGER
See Companion Report.

CONTACT PERSON
Peter Radu, Homeless Services Coordinator and Secretary to the Homeless Services Panel of Experts, HHCS, (510) 981-5435.

Attachments:
1: Recommendations for First Year Measure P Allocations - By Category and Activity
2: Homeless Services Panel of Experts Statement of Purpose
ATTACHMENT 1:  
Recommendations for General Fund Allocations Associated with Measure P - By Category and Activity

Because the total amount of funding available is unknown, recommendations are based on a percentage of funding to each category. Within investment areas, activities are listed in the order they were prioritized and we generally recommend higher priority be given to these activities over those that are listed further down in higher priority categories. Additional considerations and recommendations include subpopulation priorities and service types considered within each activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Area and Sub-Category Activities listed in Priority Order</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Additional Considerations/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. PERMANENT HOUSING</strong></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Establish a minimum set-aside of $500,000 for homeless families in this category. Transition-age youth should be included in funding for Adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Housing Subsidies and Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. SHELTER &amp; TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATIONS</strong></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1. Adding new sheltering capacity may include the development of dedicated RV parking, use of tiny houses, or other means to increase shelter capacity. If the City should adopt a policy approving sanctioned encampments then this use would also be included. City should ensure there is a focus on meeting needs of any families living on the street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Expand Shelter Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Increase services and housing connections in existing shelters so that they are able to function as Navigation Centers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Invest in improving existing shelter capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. IMMEDIATE STREET CONDITIONS &amp; HYGIENE</strong></td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>Note: These funds were not recommended for general clean-up and other Public Works functions and should be spent on activities that directly benefit homeless people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Toilets and Hygiene Stations, including for encampments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lockers and Storage Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Area and Sub-Category Activities listed in Priority Order</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Additional Considerations/Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. SUPPORTIVE SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>1. Health care services dedicated to people experiencing homelessness which may include street medicine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Health Care services</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Activities may include job development and support as well as benefits advocacy and other services to improve incomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Employment and Income Development Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Substance use treatment services dedicated for persons who are experiencing homelessness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Substance Use Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. FLEXIBLE HOUSING SUBSIDIES</strong></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Establish a 20% set-aside for homeless families and transition-age youth, using the McKinney-Vento definition of homelessness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible housing subsidies may include prevention, diversion and/or rapid resolution support.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. INFRASTRUCTURE</strong></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1. Use resources in this category for training for Berkeley community-based organizations working with people who are homeless.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Training ~80%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Use resources in this category to ensure that the experiences of service users are captured and considered in performance evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Evaluation ~20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT 2:
Homeless Services Panel of Experts Mission/Purpose Statement
(adopted August 14, 2019)

The Voters of Berkeley passed Measure P to generate additional General Funds to use to address the crisis of homelessness. The Homeless Services Panel of Experts created by the Measure was established to “make recommendations on how and to what extent the City should establish and/or fund programs to end or prevent homelessness in Berkeley and provide humane services and support.”

We understand the current crisis of homelessness requires investments in prevention, health services and permanent housing which we know to be the solution to homelessness, as well as in shelters, supports and other temporary measures that get people immediately out of the elements. We will seek to strike a balance between these needs in our recommendations.

We will consider currently unmet needs, gaps and opportunities, best practices and currently available data on outcomes. We will make recommendations for increased local investment, including program types, target populations and geographic areas as appropriate. We will seek to consider the best use of these investments in the context of other available Federal, State and local funding. In general, we will not make recommendations on the specific agencies to receive funding, nor run our own proposal process, recognizing this as a role for staff and the Council. We will request updates on the performance of Measure P investments and the homeless service system overall, including the experience of service users, and use this information to inform future recommendations and provide oversight.

We recognize that homelessness is a regional issue and requires a regional approach, including recognizing that people from Berkeley may live in other places and remain connected to Berkeley services.

To ensure Measure P funding recommendations further efforts to create more housing for people experiencing homelessness in Berkeley, we will coordinate with the Measure O panel to ensure that very low cost housing is connected to services and operating support so that it can successfully targeted to people who are homeless.

We will meet as needed to fulfill this Mission, and to make recommendations to the City Council at least annually.